



## Comparison Data

*A Supplement to **The Structured Decision Making® System**  
in Child Welfare Services in California*

Report Date: May 2020

Report Period: January 1 – December 31, 2019

Table 1

**Emergency Response Referrals  
CWS/CMS Screening Decision**

County	Accept		Evaluate Out		N
	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	3,866	40%	5,810	60%	9,676
Alpine	28	47%	31	53%	59
Amador	250	41%	367	59%	617
Butte	920	28%	2,323	72%	3,243
Calaveras	302	47%	342	53%	644
Colusa	168	52%	154	48%	322
Contra Costa	3,888	54%	3,275	46%	7,163
Del Norte	438	47%	502	53%	940
El Dorado	1,108	49%	1,140	51%	2,248
Fresno	9,186	65%	4,879	35%	14,065
Glenn	412	55%	340	45%	752
Humboldt	1,291	39%	2,020	61%	3,311
Imperial	1,807	85%	331	15%	2,138
Inyo	168	41%	241	59%	409
Kern	5,078	36%	8,870	64%	13,948
Kings	1,230	55%	1,017	45%	2,247
Lake	532	46%	618	54%	1,150
Lassen	289	47%	329	53%	618
Los Angeles	57,422	72%	22,449	28%	79,871
Madera	1,899	70%	796	30%	2,695
Marin	452	40%	677	60%	1,129
Mariposa	107	58%	77	42%	184
Mendocino	1,404	59%	994	41%	2,398
Merced	1,804	46%	2,126	54%	3,930
Modoc	231	62%	143	38%	374
Mono	50	36%	88	64%	138
Monterey	2,342	45%	2,836	55%	5,178
Napa	797	49%	815	51%	1,612
Nevada	460	27%	1,255	73%	1,715
Orange	12,315	40%	18,140	60%	30,455
Placer	1,326	38%	2,154	62%	3,480
Plumas	103	72%	40	28%	143
Riverside	22,535	78%	6,395	22%	28,930

Table 1

**Emergency Response Referrals  
CWS/CMS Screening Decision**

County	Accept		Evaluate Out		N
	n	%	n	%	
Sacramento	9,417	42%	12,969	58%	22,386
San Benito	353	46%	422	54%	775
San Bernardino	17,331	62%	10,796	38%	28,127
San Diego	24,288	56%	19,135	44%	43,423
San Francisco	1,569	32%	3,405	68%	4,974
San Joaquin	4,477	47%	5,083	53%	9,560
San Luis Obispo	1,500	41%	2,169	59%	3,669
San Mateo	2,162	40%	3,188	60%	5,350
Santa Barbara	4,159	65%	2,264	35%	6,423
Santa Clara	7,701	72%	2,987	28%	10,688
Santa Cruz	1,202	47%	1,338	53%	2,540
Shasta	1,914	55%	1,584	45%	3,498
Sierra	22	56%	17	44%	39
Siskiyou	560	65%	297	35%	857
Solano	2,058	47%	2,297	53%	4,355
Sonoma	2,220	39%	3,409	61%	5,629
Stanislaus	2,955	48%	3,167	52%	6,122
Sutter	352	34%	697	66%	1,049
Tehama	654	42%	917	58%	1,571
Trinity	114	52%	107	48%	221
Tulare	4,827	63%	2,875	37%	7,702
Tuolumne	345	38%	570	62%	915
Ventura	3,824	38%	6,153	62%	9,977
Yolo	1,128	45%	1,390	55%	2,518
Yuba	422	36%	751	64%	1,173
<b>Total</b>	<b>229,762</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>179,561</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>409,323</b>

Table 2

## SDM® Screening Completion Rate

County	Completed		Not Completed		N
	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	9,158	95%	518	5%	9,676
Alpine	56	95%	3	5%	59
Amador	612	99%	5	1%	617
Butte	3,242	>99%	1	<1%	3,243
Calaveras	634	98%	10	2%	644
Colusa	289	90%	33	10%	322
Contra Costa	7,039	98%	124	2%	7,163
Del Norte	932	99%	8	1%	940
El Dorado	2,113	94%	135	6%	2,248
Fresno	12,558	89%	1,507	11%	14,065
Glenn	750	>99%	2	<1%	752
Humboldt	3,281	99%	30	1%	3,311
Imperial	2,059	96%	79	4%	2,138
Inyo	404	99%	5	1%	409
Kern	13,768	99%	180	1%	13,948
Kings	2,234	99%	13	1%	2,247
Lake	1,064	93%	86	7%	1,150
Lassen	614	99%	4	1%	618
Los Angeles	79,448	99%	423	1%	79,871
Madera	2,654	98%	41	2%	2,695
Marin	1,082	96%	47	4%	1,129
Mariposa	163	89%	21	11%	184
Mendocino	2,338	97%	60	3%	2,398
Merced	3,524	90%	406	10%	3,930
Modoc	374	100%	0	0%	374
Mono	135	98%	3	2%	138
Monterey	5,142	99%	36	1%	5,178
Napa	1,567	97%	45	3%	1,612
Nevada	1,695	99%	20	1%	1,715
Orange	30,188	99%	267	1%	30,455
Placer	3,082	89%	398	11%	3,480
Plumas	118	83%	25	17%	143
Riverside	28,775	99%	155	1%	28,930
Sacramento	22,196	99%	190	1%	22,386

Table 2

## SDM® Screening Completion Rate

County	Completed		Not Completed		N
	n	%	n	%	
San Benito	758	98%	17	2%	775
San Bernardino	28,001	>99%	126	<1%	28,127
San Diego	42,150	97%	1,273	3%	43,423
San Francisco	4,840	97%	134	3%	4,974
San Joaquin	9,387	98%	173	2%	9,560
San Luis Obispo	3,577	97%	92	3%	3,669
San Mateo	5,019	94%	331	6%	5,350
Santa Barbara	5,780	90%	643	10%	6,423
Santa Clara	10,540	99%	148	1%	10,688
Santa Cruz	2,517	99%	23	1%	2,540
Shasta	3,498	100%	0	0%	3,498
Sierra	27	69%	12	31%	39
Siskiyou	801	93%	56	7%	857
Solano	4,318	99%	37	1%	4,355
Sonoma	5,525	98%	104	2%	5,629
Stanislaus	5,770	94%	352	6%	6,122
Sutter	981	94%	68	6%	1,049
Tehama	1,562	99%	9	1%	1,571
Trinity	200	90%	21	10%	221
Tulare	6,085	79%	1,617	21%	7,702
Tuolumne	903	99%	12	1%	915
Ventura	9,880	99%	97	1%	9,977
Yolo	2,345	93%	173	7%	2,518
Yuba	972	83%	201	17%	1,173
<b>Total</b>	<b>398,724</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>10,599</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>409,323</b>

Table 3

## Final SDM® Screening Decision

County	In-Person Response		Evaluate Out		N
	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	3,469	38%	5,688	62%	9,157
Alpine	28	50%	28	50%	56
Amador	247	40%	365	60%	612
Butte	987	30%	2,255	70%	3,242
Calaveras	303	48%	331	52%	634
Colusa	163	56%	126	44%	289
Contra Costa	3,857	55%	3,182	45%	7,039
Del Norte	439	47%	493	53%	932
El Dorado	1,260	60%	853	40%	2,113
Fresno	8,974	71%	3,580	29%	12,554
Glenn	418	56%	332	44%	750
Humboldt	1,360	41%	1,921	59%	3,281
Imperial	1,810	88%	249	12%	2,059
Inyo	178	44%	226	56%	404
Kern	5,890	43%	7,877	57%	13,767
Kings	1,242	56%	992	44%	2,234
Lake	498	47%	566	53%	1,064
Lassen	289	47%	325	53%	614
Los Angeles	58,477	74%	20,966	26%	79,443
Madera	1,922	72%	730	28%	2,652
Marin	449	41%	633	59%	1,082
Mariposa	96	59%	67	41%	163
Mendocino	1,375	59%	963	41%	2,338
Merced	1,791	51%	1,733	49%	3,524
Modoc	233	62%	141	38%	374
Mono	70	52%	65	48%	135
Monterey	2,335	45%	2,807	55%	5,142
Napa	845	54%	722	46%	1,567
Nevada	480	28%	1,215	72%	1,695
Orange	12,164	40%	18,023	60%	30,187
Placer	1,344	44%	1,738	56%	3,082
Plumas	111	94%	7	6%	118
Riverside	24,310	84%	4,465	16%	28,775

Table 3

## Final SDM® Screening Decision

County	In-Person Response		Evaluate Out		N
	n	%	n	%	
Sacramento	9,507	43%	12,688	57%	22,195
San Benito	360	47%	398	53%	758
San Bernardino	20,254	72%	7,721	28%	27,975
San Diego	26,594	63%	15,556	37%	42,150
San Francisco	1,613	33%	3,227	67%	4,840
San Joaquin	4,477	48%	4,909	52%	9,386
San Luis Obispo	1,472	41%	2,105	59%	3,577
San Mateo	2,102	42%	2,917	58%	5,019
Santa Barbara	3,891	67%	1,889	33%	5,780
Santa Clara	7,719	73%	2,818	27%	10,537
Santa Cruz	1,209	48%	1,308	52%	2,517
Shasta	1,923	55%	1,575	45%	3,498
Sierra	23	85%	4	15%	27
Siskiyou	548	68%	253	32%	801
Solano	2,042	47%	2,276	53%	4,318
Sonoma	2,332	42%	3,192	58%	5,524
Stanislaus	2,881	50%	2,887	50%	5,768
Sutter	386	39%	595	61%	981
Tehama	661	42%	901	58%	1,562
Trinity	110	55%	90	45%	200
Tulare	3,808	63%	2,277	37%	6,085
Tuolumne	344	38%	559	62%	903
Ventura	4,003	41%	5,877	59%	9,880
Yolo	1,140	49%	1,205	51%	2,345
Yuba	453	47%	519	53%	972
<b>Total</b>	<b>237,266</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>161,410</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>398,676</b>

Note: A total of 48 referrals were excluded from analysis due to an error in the assessment; this exclusion did not affect results.

Table 4

## SDM® Screening Overrides

County	No Override		Override to In-Person Response		Override to Evaluate Out		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	7,432	87%	190	2%	931	11%	8,553
Alpine	47	92%	0	0%	4	8%	51
Amador	517	98%	5	1%	8	2%	530
Butte	3,114	97%	23	1%	71	2%	3,208
Calaveras	569	96%	9	2%	15	3%	593
Colusa	217	83%	3	1%	42	16%	262
Contra Costa	6,739	99%	39	1%	18	<1%	6,796
Del Norte	801	93%	40	5%	22	3%	863
El Dorado	1,927	97%	10	1%	43	2%	1,980
Fresno	10,148	84%	27	<1%	1,885	16%	12,060
Glenn	637	95%	9	1%	23	3%	669
Humboldt	2,711	97%	53	2%	45	2%	2,809
Imperial	2,019	99%	29	1%	0	0%	2,048
Inyo	300	91%	6	2%	23	7%	329
Kern	12,699	96%	11	<1%	452	3%	13,162
Kings	1,921	96%	26	1%	52	3%	1,999
Lake	1,024	99%	0	0%	6	1%	1,030
Lassen	588	98%	2	<1%	8	1%	598
Los Angeles	68,297	94%	1,344	2%	2,681	4%	72,322
Madera	2,224	95%	28	1%	79	3%	2,331
Marin	1,032	98%	10	1%	8	1%	1,050
Mariposa	139	89%	1	1%	16	10%	156
Mendocino	2,004	97%	2	<1%	61	3%	2,067
Merced	3,414	99%	4	<1%	25	1%	3,443
Modoc	357	99%	0	0%	2	1%	359
Mono	114	93%	0	0%	9	7%	123
Monterey	4,592	97%	44	1%	91	2%	4,727
Napa	1,380	99%	3	<1%	14	1%	1,397
Nevada	1,305	88%	8	1%	170	11%	1,483
Orange	25,471	98%	53	<1%	495	2%	26,019
Placer	2,958	99%	2	<1%	39	1%	2,999
Plumas	114	97%	0	0%	3	3%	117
Riverside	27,085	95%	551	2%	869	3%	28,505



Table 4

## SDM® Screening Overrides

County	No Override		Override to In-Person Response		Override to Evaluate Out		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Sacramento	20,024	98%	77	<1%	251	1%	20,352
San Benito	646	95%	10	1%	22	3%	678
San Bernardino	23,706	94%	9	<1%	1,486	6%	25,201
San Diego	36,794	96%	549	1%	844	2%	38,187
San Francisco	4,207	99%	16	<1%	47	1%	4,270
San Joaquin	8,671	97%	186	2%	102	1%	8,959
San Luis Obispo	2,925	97%	11	<1%	78	3%	3,014
San Mateo	4,806	97%	75	2%	59	1%	4,940
Santa Barbara	5,083	93%	33	1%	359	7%	5,475
Santa Clara	9,846	94%	85	1%	518	5%	10,449
Santa Cruz	2,510	>99%	1	<1%	0	0%	2,511
Shasta	3,346	97%	44	1%	61	2%	3,451
Sierra	25	100%	0	0%	0	0%	25
Siskiyou	701	93%	1	<1%	49	7%	751
Solano	3,869	97%	87	2%	42	1%	3,998
Sonoma	5,054	95%	40	1%	254	5%	5,348
Stanislaus	5,297	96%	97	2%	152	3%	5,546
Sutter	904	96%	7	1%	34	4%	945
Tehama	1,388	97%	16	1%	20	1%	1,424
Trinity	180	92%	2	1%	13	7%	195
Tulare	5,213	98%	50	1%	71	1%	5,334
Tuolumne	870	98%	2	<1%	20	2%	892
Ventura	8,154	95%	47	1%	406	5%	8,607
Yolo	2,050	96%	20	1%	60	3%	2,130
Yuba	793	86%	18	2%	115	12%	926
<b>Total</b>	<b>350,958</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>4,015</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>13,273</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>368,246</b>

Note: A total of 48 referrals were excluded from analysis due to an error in the assessment; this exclusion did not affect results. Additionally, 30,430 referrals evaluated out during the preliminary screening are not included in this table, as the override section was not completed for these referrals.

Table 5

## Final SDM® Response Priority Level

County	Immediate or 24 Hours		10 Days*		N
	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	1,406	43%	1,873	57%	3,279
Alpine	11	39%	17	61%	28
Amador	61	25%	181	75%	242
Butte	315	33%	649	67%	964
Calaveras	129	44%	165	56%	294
Colusa	35	22%	125	78%	160
Contra Costa	580	15%	3,238	85%	3,818
Del Norte	103	26%	296	74%	399
El Dorado	244	20%	1,006	80%	1,250
Fresno	3,016	34%	5,931	66%	8,947
Glenn	83	20%	326	80%	409
Humboldt	240	18%	1,067	82%	1,307
Imperial	614	34%	1,167	66%	1,781
Inyo	34	20%	138	80%	172
Kern	2,287	39%	3,591	61%	5,878
Kings	609	50%	607	50%	1,216
Lake	142	29%	356	71%	498
Lassen	83	29%	204	71%	287
Los Angeles	12,656	22%	44,475	78%	57,131
Madera	534	28%	1,360	72%	1,894
Marin	115	26%	324	74%	439
Mariposa	23	24%	72	76%	95
Mendocino	304	22%	1,069	78%	1,373
Merced	790	44%	995	56%	1,785
Modoc	96	41%	137	59%	233
Mono	16	23%	54	77%	70
Monterey	839	37%	1,452	63%	2,291
Napa	212	25%	630	75%	842
Nevada	97	21%	375	79%	472
Orange	2,646	22%	9,464	78%	12,110
Placer	197	15%	1,144	85%	1,341
Plumas	21	19%	90	81%	111
Riverside	3,280	14%	20,479	86%	23,759
Sacramento	3,151	33%	6,279	67%	9,430

Table 5

## Final SDM® Response Priority Level

County	Immediate or 24 Hours		10 Days*		N
	n	%	n	%	
San Benito	73	21%	277	79%	350
San Bernardino	2,461	12%	17,783	88%	20,244
San Diego	10,423	40%	15,622	60%	26,045
San Francisco	664	42%	933	58%	1,597
San Joaquin	1,258	29%	3,033	71%	4,291
San Luis Obispo	497	34%	964	66%	1,461
San Mateo	561	28%	1,466	72%	2,027
Santa Barbara	584	15%	3,274	85%	3,858
Santa Clara	2,524	33%	5,110	67%	7,634
Santa Cruz	227	19%	981	81%	1,208
Shasta	306	16%	1,573	84%	1,879
Siskiyou	54	10%	493	90%	547
Solano	747	38%	1,207	62%	1,954
Sonoma	877	38%	1,415	62%	2,292
Stanislaus	985	35%	1,799	65%	2,784
Sutter	77	20%	302	80%	379
Tehama	124	19%	521	81%	645
Trinity	16	15%	92	85%	108
Tulare	1,191	32%	2,566	68%	3,757
Tuolumne	54	16%	288	84%	342
Ventura	840	21%	3,116	79%	3,956
Yolo	377	34%	742	66%	1,119
Yuba	206	47%	229	53%	435
<b>Total</b>	<b>60,099</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>173,141</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>233,240</b>

\*Five days in Los Angeles County.

Note: For counties with fewer than 25 assessments available for analysis, results are included in the total but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row. A total of 4,015 referrals initially recommended to be evaluated out but overridden to screen in are excluded. Additionally, due to underlying issues in the assessment, 11 (<1%) response priority assessments were excluded from the analysis; this exclusion did not affect results.

Table 6

## SDM® Response Priority Override Rate

County	No Override		Override to Immediate or 24 Hours		Override to 10 Days*		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	2,763	84%	149	5%	367	11%	3,279
Alpine	18	64%	10	36%	0	0%	28
Amador	218	90%	8	3%	16	7%	242
Butte	870	90%	88	9%	6	1%	964
Calaveras	258	88%	11	4%	25	9%	294
Colusa	149	93%	9	6%	2	1%	160
Contra Costa	3,706	97%	63	2%	49	1%	3,818
Del Norte	340	85%	27	7%	32	8%	399
El Dorado	1,163	93%	41	3%	46	4%	1,250
Fresno	8,518	95%	265	3%	164	2%	8,947
Glenn	384	94%	22	5%	3	1%	409
Humboldt	1,208	92%	37	3%	62	5%	1,307
Imperial	1,744	98%	37	2%	0	0%	1,781
Inyo	164	95%	5	3%	3	2%	172
Kern	4,739	81%	764	13%	375	6%	5,878
Kings	1,131	93%	32	3%	53	4%	1,216
Lake	464	93%	16	3%	18	4%	498
Lassen	265	92%	20	7%	2	1%	287
Los Angeles	49,220	86%	1,618	3%	6,293	11%	57,131
Madera	1,757	93%	101	5%	36	2%	1,894
Marin	409	93%	10	2%	20	5%	439
Mariposa	88	93%	6	6%	1	1%	95
Mendocino	1,298	95%	36	3%	39	3%	1,373
Merced	1,607	90%	164	9%	14	1%	1,785
Modoc	199	85%	29	12%	5	2%	233
Mono	61	87%	4	6%	5	7%	70
Monterey	2,032	89%	124	5%	135	6%	2,291
Napa	800	95%	17	2%	25	3%	842
Nevada	453	96%	16	3%	3	1%	472
Orange	10,724	89%	234	2%	1,152	10%	12,110
Placer	1,298	97%	34	3%	9	1%	1,341
Plumas	105	95%	1	1%	5	5%	111
Riverside	22,643	95%	519	2%	597	3%	23,759
Sacramento	8,376	89%	312	3%	742	8%	9,430
San Benito	332	95%	8	2%	10	3%	350

Table 6

## SDM® Response Priority Override Rate

County	No Override		Override to Immediate or 24 Hours		Override to 10 Days*		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
San Bernardino	18,763	93%	405	2%	1,076	5%	20,244
San Diego	23,185	89%	1,335	5%	1,525	6%	26,045
San Francisco	1,482	93%	41	3%	74	5%	1,597
San Joaquin	3,857	90%	70	2%	364	8%	4,291
San Luis Obispo	1,328	91%	66	5%	67	5%	1,461
San Mateo	1,845	91%	40	2%	142	7%	2,027
Santa Barbara	3,652	95%	105	3%	101	3%	3,858
Santa Clara	6,649	87%	440	6%	545	7%	7,634
Santa Cruz	1,193	99%	9	1%	6	<1%	1,208
Shasta	1,739	93%	44	2%	96	5%	1,879
Siskiyou	529	97%	9	2%	9	2%	547
Solano	1,812	93%	68	3%	74	4%	1,954
Sonoma	2,116	92%	47	2%	129	6%	2,292
Stanislaus	2,519	90%	203	7%	62	2%	2,784
Sutter	355	94%	23	6%	1	<1%	379
Tehama	588	91%	31	5%	26	4%	645
Trinity	99	92%	1	1%	8	7%	108
Tulare	3,413	91%	248	7%	96	3%	3,757
Tuolumne	333	97%	4	1%	5	1%	342
Ventura	3,740	95%	152	4%	64	2%	3,956
Yolo	1,018	91%	84	8%	17	2%	1,119
Yuba	297	68%	113	26%	25	6%	435
<b>Total</b>	<b>210,038</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>8,376</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>14,826</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>233,240</b>

\*Five days in Los Angeles County.

Note: For counties with fewer than 25 assessments available for analysis, results are included in the total but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row. A total of 4,015 referrals initially recommended to be evaluated out but overridden to screen in are excluded. Due to underlying issues in the assessment, 11 (less than 1%) response priority assessments were excluded from the analysis; this exclusion did not affect results.

Table 7

## SDM® Safety Assessment Completion Rate

County	Completed		Not Completed		N
	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	3,049	82%	653	18%	3,702
Alpine	24	86%	4	14%	28
Amador	178	74%	63	26%	241
Butte	587	70%	256	30%	843
Calaveras	253	89%	32	11%	285
Colusa	111	73%	41	27%	152
Contra Costa	2,626	70%	1,114	30%	3,740
Del Norte	300	87%	43	13%	343
El Dorado	820	82%	185	18%	1,005
Fresno	6,832	82%	1,516	18%	8,348
Glenn	321	84%	60	16%	381
Humboldt	1,157	94%	71	6%	1,228
Imperial	1,305	79%	353	21%	1,658
Inyo	142	85%	26	15%	168
Kern	4,245	91%	422	9%	4,667
Kings	1,013	87%	152	13%	1,165
Lake	369	80%	92	20%	461
Lassen	246	97%	8	3%	254
Los Angeles	48,551	88%	6,890	12%	55,441
Madera	1,434	87%	211	13%	1,645
Marin	410	95%	20	5%	430
Mariposa	77	75%	25	25%	102
Mendocino	1,095	86%	180	14%	1,275
Merced	1,548	90%	175	10%	1,723
Modoc	198	92%	18	8%	216
Mono	42	84%	8	16%	50
Monterey	1,734	78%	481	22%	2,215
Napa	697	90%	74	10%	771
Nevada	292	78%	83	22%	375
Orange	10,347	86%	1,726	14%	12,073
Placer	1,077	88%	142	12%	1,219
Plumas	63	79%	17	21%	80
Riverside	18,217	86%	2,952	14%	21,169
Sacramento	7,954	90%	884	10%	8,838

Table 7

## SDM® Safety Assessment Completion Rate

County	Completed		Not Completed		N
	n	%	n	%	
San Benito	334	95%	16	5%	350
San Bernardino	14,068	87%	2,063	13%	16,131
San Diego	19,362	87%	2,994	13%	22,356
San Francisco	1,323	90%	150	10%	1,473
San Joaquin	3,765	89%	451	11%	4,216
San Luis Obispo	1,327	92%	111	8%	1,438
San Mateo	1,848	89%	223	11%	2,071
Santa Barbara	3,459	88%	477	12%	3,936
Santa Clara	6,830	92%	625	8%	7,455
Santa Cruz	1,012	88%	132	12%	1,144
Shasta	1,676	92%	154	8%	1,830
Siskiyou	488	97%	16	3%	504
Solano	1,475	78%	412	22%	1,887
Sonoma	1,725	82%	366	18%	2,091
Stanislaus	2,432	84%	467	16%	2,899
Sutter	241	78%	68	22%	309
Tehama	528	85%	94	15%	622
Trinity	98	93%	7	7%	105
Tulare	3,799	85%	694	15%	4,493
Tuolumne	300	95%	16	5%	316
Ventura	3,244	88%	429	12%	3,673
Yolo	879	80%	219	20%	1,098
Yuba	262	68%	123	32%	385
<b>Total</b>	<b>187,795</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>29,299</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>217,094</b>

Note: Results for counties with fewer than 25 investigations available for analysis are included in the total but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.

Table 8

## SDM® Safety Assessment Results

County	Safe		Safe With Plan		Unsafe		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	2,524	83%	198	6%	327	11%	3,049
Amador	148	83%	20	11%	10	6%	178
Butte	374	64%	120	20%	93	16%	587
Calaveras	178	70%	41	16%	34	13%	253
Colusa	70	63%	26	23%	15	14%	111
Contra Costa	1,938	74%	526	20%	162	6%	2,626
Del Norte	246	82%	30	10%	24	8%	300
El Dorado	557	68%	207	25%	56	7%	820
Fresno	5,447	80%	834	12%	551	8%	6,832
Glenn	239	74%	57	18%	25	8%	321
Humboldt	1,023	88%	58	5%	76	7%	1,157
Imperial	992	76%	154	12%	159	12%	1,305
Inyo	102	72%	37	26%	3	2%	142
Kern	3,498	82%	409	10%	338	8%	4,245
Kings	797	79%	119	12%	97	10%	1,013
Lake	269	73%	77	21%	23	6%	369
Lassen	198	80%	26	11%	22	9%	246
Los Angeles	39,191	81%	7,102	15%	2,258	5%	48,551
Madera	1,174	82%	156	11%	104	7%	1,434
Marin	263	64%	127	31%	20	5%	410
Mariposa	65	84%	9	12%	3	4%	77
Mendocino	851	78%	165	15%	79	7%	1,095
Merced	1,223	79%	166	11%	159	10%	1,548
Modoc	155	78%	30	15%	13	7%	198
Mono	26	62%	15	36%	1	2%	42
Monterey	1,326	76%	304	18%	104	6%	1,734
Napa	575	82%	69	10%	53	8%	697
Nevada	239	82%	20	7%	33	11%	292
Orange	8,680	84%	980	9%	687	7%	10,347
Placer	829	77%	193	18%	55	5%	1,077
Plumas	36	57%	17	27%	10	16%	63
Riverside	16,187	89%	1,542	8%	488	3%	18,217
Sacramento	5,907	74%	1,702	21%	345	4%	7,954



Table 8

## SDM® Safety Assessment Results

County	Safe		Safe With Plan		Unsafe		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
San Benito	298	89%	18	5%	18	5%	334
San Bernardino	11,792	84%	1,013	7%	1,263	9%	14,068
San Diego	17,139	89%	1,760	9%	463	2%	19,362
San Francisco	845	64%	317	24%	161	12%	1,323
San Joaquin	3,146	84%	397	11%	222	6%	3,765
San Luis Obispo	726	55%	515	39%	86	6%	1,327
San Mateo	1,637	89%	161	9%	50	3%	1,848
Santa Barbara	3,174	92%	188	5%	97	3%	3,459
Santa Clara	5,845	86%	690	10%	295	4%	6,830
Santa Cruz	805	80%	167	17%	40	4%	1,012
Shasta	1,080	64%	472	28%	124	7%	1,676
Siskiyou	397	81%	53	11%	38	8%	488
Solano	1,150	78%	208	14%	117	8%	1,475
Sonoma	1,335	77%	283	16%	107	6%	1,725
Stanislaus	2,040	84%	229	9%	163	7%	2,432
Sutter	171	71%	48	20%	22	9%	241
Tehama	420	80%	68	13%	40	8%	528
Trinity	60	61%	29	30%	9	9%	98
Tulare	3,033	80%	558	15%	208	5%	3,799
Tuolumne	147	49%	115	38%	38	13%	300
Ventura	2,754	85%	355	11%	135	4%	3,244
Yolo	547	62%	248	28%	84	10%	879
Yuba	93	35%	139	53%	30	11%	262
<b>Total</b>	<b>153,978</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>23,575</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>10,242</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>187,795</b>

Note: Results for counties with fewer than 25 investigations available for analysis are included in the total but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.

Table 9

## Three Most Prevalent Safety Threats Identified in Removal Households

Safety Threat	n	%
<b>Alameda (N = 327)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	172	53%
Physical Harm	125	38%
Failure to Protect	80	24%
<b>Butte (N = 93)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	56	60%
Hazardous Living Conditions	48	52%
Physical Harm	30	32%
<b>Calaveras (N = 34)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	20	59%
Physical Harm	17	50%
Failure to Protect	13	38%
<b>Contra Costa (N = 162)</b>		
Physical Harm	81	50%
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	74	46%
Failure to Protect	54	33%
<b>El Dorado (N = 56)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	35	63%
Failure to Protect	21	38%
Physical Harm	15	27%
<b>Fresno (N = 551)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	320	58%
Physical Harm	214	39%
Failure to Protect	171	31%
<b>Glenn (N = 25)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	18	72%
Failure to Protect	9	36%
Hazardous Living Conditions	8	32%
<b>Humboldt (N = 76)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	45	59%
Physical Harm	24	32%
Previous Maltreatment	23	30%
<b>Imperial (N = 159)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	106	67%
Other	49	31%
Physical Harm	34	21%

Table 9

## Three Most Prevalent Safety Threats Identified in Removal Households

Safety Threat	n	%
<b>Kern (N = 338)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	192	57%
Physical Harm	108	32%
Hazardous Living Conditions	89	26%
<b>Kings (N = 97)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	58	60%
Physical Harm	33	34%
Failure to Protect	21	22%
<b>Los Angeles (N = 2,258)</b>		
Physical Harm	999	44%
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	972	43%
Failure to Protect	565	25%
<b>Madera (N = 104)</b>		
Physical Harm	47	45%
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	42	40%
Failure to Protect	24	23%
<b>Mendocino (N = 79)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	56	71%
Physical Harm	32	41%
Failure to Protect	23	29%
<b>Merced (N = 159)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	113	71%
Physical Harm	60	38%
Failure to Protect	52	33%
<b>Monterey (N = 104)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	58	56%
Physical Harm	41	39%
Failure to Protect	20	19%
<b>Napa (N = 53)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	31	58%
Failure to Protect	18	34%
Previous Maltreatment	15	28%
<b>Nevada (N = 33)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	19	58%
Hazardous Living Conditions	12	36%
Physical Harm	8	24%
<b>Orange (N = 687)</b>		

Table 9

## Three Most Prevalent Safety Threats Identified in Removal Households

Safety Threat	n	%
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	302	44%
Physical Harm	297	43%
Failure to Protect	195	28%
<b>Placer (N = 55)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	42	76%
Failure to Protect	20	36%
Physical Harm	14	25%
<b>Riverside (N = 488)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	295	60%
Physical Harm	204	42%
Failure to Protect	148	30%
<b>Sacramento (N = 345)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	147	43%
Physical Harm	136	39%
Previous Maltreatment	65	19%
Failure to Protect	65	19%
<b>San Bernardino (N = 1,263)</b>		
Physical Harm	659	52%
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	565	45%
Previous Maltreatment	428	34%
<b>San Diego (N = 463)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	241	52%
Physical Harm	165	36%
Previous Maltreatment	101	22%
<b>San Francisco (N = 161)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	106	66%
Physical Harm	54	34%
Previous Maltreatment	28	17%
Failure to Protect	28	17%
<b>San Joaquin (N = 222)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	114	51%
Physical Harm	82	37%
Hazardous Living Conditions	50	23%
<b>San Luis Obispo (N = 86)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	53	62%
Failure to Protect	36	42%
Physical Harm	28	33%

Table 9

## Three Most Prevalent Safety Threats Identified in Removal Households

Safety Threat	n	%
<b>San Mateo (N = 50)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	24	48%
Physical Harm	11	22%
Failure to Protect	8	16%
<b>Santa Barbara (N = 97)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	59	61%
Physical Harm	33	34%
Failure to Protect	30	31%
<b>Santa Clara (N = 295)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	156	53%
Physical Harm	110	37%
Failure to Protect	78	26%
<b>Santa Cruz (N = 40)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	23	58%
Physical Harm	11	28%
Failure to Protect	8	20%
<b>Shasta (N = 124)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	80	65%
Previous Maltreatment	56	45%
Physical Harm	44	35%
<b>Siskiyou (N = 38)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	21	55%
Physical Harm	12	32%
Failure to Protect	9	24%
Hazardous Living Conditions	9	24%
<b>Solano (N = 117)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	62	53%
Physical Harm	50	43%
Previous Maltreatment	28	24%
<b>Sonoma (N = 107)</b>		
Physical Harm	55	51%
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	45	42%
Previous Maltreatment	43	40%
<b>Stanislaus (N = 163)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	86	53%
Physical Harm	65	40%
Previous Maltreatment	56	34%

Table 9

## Three Most Prevalent Safety Threats Identified in Removal Households

Safety Threat	n	%
<b>Tehama (N = 40)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	28	70%
Failure to Protect	19	48%
Physical Harm	17	43%
<b>Tulare (N = 208)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	101	49%
Physical Harm	92	44%
Hazardous Living Conditions	47	23%
<b>Tuolumne (N = 38)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	22	58%
Failure to Protect	17	45%
Previous Maltreatment	16	42%
<b>Ventura (N = 135)</b>		
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	71	53%
Physical Harm	66	49%
Failure to Protect	44	33%
<b>Yolo (N = 84)</b>		
Physical Harm	47	56%
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	46	55%
Failure to Protect	42	50%
<b>Yuba (N = 30)</b>		
Physical Harm	19	63%
Child Immediate Needs Not Met	14	47%
Hazardous Living Conditions	9	30%
<b>COMBINED (N = 10,242; includes all safety threats on the assessment and all counties in the state)</b>		
<b>Child Immediate Needs Not Met</b>	<b>5,210</b>	<b>51%</b>
<b>Physical Harm</b>	<b>4,251</b>	<b>42%</b>
<b>Failure to Protect</b>	<b>2,676</b>	<b>26%</b>

Note: Results for counties with fewer than 25 assessments completed during the period are included in the total but not listed by county. Therefore, the rows in the table do not add up to the combined totals.

Table 10

## SDM® Risk Assessment Completion Rate: Substantiated and Inconclusive Investigations

County	Completed		Not Completed		N
	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	1,264	92%	111	8%	1,375
Amador	70	96%	3	4%	73
Butte	422	74%	150	26%	572
Calaveras	128	92%	11	8%	139
Colusa	60	68%	28	32%	88
Contra Costa	1,117	90%	130	10%	1,247
Del Norte	156	99%	1	1%	157
El Dorado	575	96%	24	4%	599
Fresno	3,473	88%	483	12%	3,956
Glenn	206	91%	20	9%	226
Humboldt	513	99%	7	1%	520
Imperial	730	85%	125	15%	855
Kern	2,391	94%	140	6%	2,531
Kings	625	99%	8	1%	633
Lake	315	91%	31	9%	346
Lassen	103	95%	5	5%	108
Los Angeles	42,049	97%	1,425	3%	43,474
Madera	836	92%	74	8%	910
Marin	304	98%	7	2%	311
Mariposa	6	20%	24	80%	30
Mendocino	836	96%	36	4%	872
Merced	357	63%	209	37%	566
Modoc	71	87%	11	13%	82
Mono	25	96%	1	4%	26
Monterey	682	94%	42	6%	724
Napa	373	98%	9	2%	382
Nevada	171	91%	17	9%	188
Orange	6,256	97%	162	3%	6,418
Placer	599	95%	31	5%	630
Plumas	38	73%	14	27%	52
Riverside	7,255	94%	498	6%	7,753
Sacramento	5,012	94%	342	6%	5,354
San Benito	119	89%	14	11%	133
San Bernardino	5,238	87%	753	13%	5,991
San Diego	10,379	96%	447	4%	10,826
San Francisco	654	91%	62	9%	716

Table 10

## SDM® Risk Assessment Completion Rate: Substantiated and Inconclusive Investigations

County	Completed		Not Completed		N
	n	%	n	%	
San Joaquin	2,592	94%	161	6%	2,753
San Luis Obispo	614	96%	23	4%	637
San Mateo	657	90%	74	10%	731
Santa Barbara	1,521	95%	78	5%	1,599
Santa Clara	4,684	95%	231	5%	4,915
Santa Cruz	716	97%	25	3%	741
Shasta	929	97%	32	3%	961
Siskiyou	403	99%	6	1%	409
Solano	664	83%	140	17%	804
Sonoma	851	82%	188	18%	1,039
Stanislaus	2,224	96%	96	4%	2,320
Sutter	129	70%	54	30%	183
Tehama	156	57%	117	43%	273
Trinity	72	97%	2	3%	74
Tulare	1,322	95%	67	5%	1,389
Tuolumne	198	92%	17	8%	215
Ventura	1,739	95%	89	5%	1,828
Yolo	594	81%	139	19%	733
Yuba	190	69%	86	31%	276
<b>Total</b>	<b>113,689</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>7,085</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>120,774</b>

Note: Results for counties with fewer than 25 investigations available for analysis are included in the total but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.



Table 11

## Final SDM® Risk Level

County	Low		Moderate		High		Very High		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	281	22%	555	44%	307	24%	121	10%	1,264
Amador	8	11%	37	53%	17	24%	8	11%	70
Butte	32	8%	184	44%	138	33%	68	16%	422
Calaveras	6	5%	60	47%	40	31%	22	17%	128
Colusa	5	8%	38	63%	12	20%	5	8%	60
Contra Costa	187	17%	565	51%	280	25%	85	8%	1,117
Del Norte	20	13%	54	35%	61	39%	21	13%	156
El Dorado	82	14%	292	51%	151	26%	50	9%	575
Fresno	580	17%	1,554	45%	982	28%	357	10%	3,473
Glenn	24	12%	85	41%	70	34%	27	13%	206
Humboldt	45	9%	192	37%	178	35%	98	19%	513
Imperial	198	27%	324	44%	161	22%	47	6%	730
Kern	190	8%	939	39%	848	35%	414	17%	2,391
Kings	134	21%	282	45%	155	25%	54	9%	625
Lake	48	15%	155	49%	88	28%	24	8%	315
Lassen	7	7%	39	38%	31	30%	26	25%	103
Los Angeles	6,452	15%	17,746	42%	13,484	32%	4,367	10%	42,049
Madera	189	23%	416	50%	168	20%	63	8%	836
Marin	57	19%	161	53%	64	21%	22	7%	304
Mendocino	115	14%	363	43%	256	31%	102	12%	836
Merced	50	14%	174	49%	104	29%	29	8%	357
Modoc	4	6%	21	30%	27	38%	19	27%	71
Mono	6	24%	9	36%	9	36%	1	4%	25
Monterey	129	19%	308	45%	179	26%	66	10%	682
Napa	56	15%	159	43%	118	32%	40	11%	373
Nevada	28	16%	85	50%	47	27%	11	6%	171
Orange	1,475	24%	2,756	44%	1,653	26%	372	6%	6,256
Placer	87	15%	300	50%	167	28%	45	8%	599
Plumas	3	8%	14	37%	15	39%	6	16%	38
Riverside	1,237	17%	3,352	46%	2,099	29%	567	8%	7,255
Sacramento	803	16%	2,350	47%	1,428	28%	431	9%	5,012
San Benito	20	17%	68	57%	21	18%	10	8%	119
San Bernardino	802	15%	2,400	46%	1,381	26%	655	13%	5,238
San Diego	1,740	17%	4,800	46%	2,985	29%	854	8%	10,379
San Francisco	59	9%	284	43%	243	37%	68	10%	654
San Joaquin	579	22%	1,380	53%	509	20%	124	5%	2,592

Table 11

## Final SDM® Risk Level

County	Low		Moderate		High		Very High		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
San Luis Obispo	44	7%	237	39%	246	40%	87	14%	614
San Mateo	130	20%	352	54%	127	19%	48	7%	657
Santa Barbara	361	24%	704	46%	337	22%	119	8%	1,521
Santa Clara	989	21%	2,292	49%	1,076	23%	327	7%	4,684
Santa Cruz	107	15%	330	46%	211	29%	68	9%	716
Shasta	93	10%	411	44%	293	32%	132	14%	929
Siskiyou	64	16%	203	50%	105	26%	31	8%	403
Solano	119	18%	323	49%	167	25%	55	8%	664
Sonoma	110	13%	407	48%	246	29%	88	10%	851
Stanislaus	457	21%	1,166	52%	445	20%	156	7%	2,224
Sutter	26	20%	68	53%	26	20%	9	7%	129
Tehama	22	14%	59	38%	50	32%	25	16%	156
Trinity	5	7%	32	44%	27	38%	8	11%	72
Tulare	217	16%	568	43%	365	28%	172	13%	1,322
Tuolumne	10	5%	80	40%	84	42%	24	12%	198
Ventura	270	16%	866	50%	506	29%	97	6%	1,739
Yolo	85	14%	311	52%	141	24%	57	10%	594
Yuba	19	10%	114	60%	46	24%	11	6%	190
<b>Total</b>	<b>18,869</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>51,037</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>32,984</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>10,799</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>113,689</b>

Note: Results for counties with fewer than 25 investigations available for analysis are included in the total but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.

Table 12

## SDM® Risk Assessment Override Rate

County	No Override		Policy Override		Discretionary Override		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	1,173	93%	32	3%	59	5%	1,264
Amador	68	97%	0	0%	2	3%	70
Butte	407	96%	4	1%	11	3%	422
Calaveras	126	98%	1	1%	1	1%	128
Colusa	60	100%	0	0%	0	0%	60
Contra Costa	1,075	96%	8	1%	34	3%	1,117
Del Norte	154	99%	1	1%	1	1%	156
El Dorado	557	97%	1	<1%	17	3%	575
Fresno	3,381	97%	24	1%	68	2%	3,473
Glenn	204	99%	0	0%	2	1%	206
Humboldt	492	96%	6	1%	15	3%	513
Imperial	707	97%	2	<1%	21	3%	730
Kern	2,319	97%	15	1%	57	2%	2,391
Kings	607	97%	4	1%	14	2%	625
Lake	311	99%	0	0%	4	1%	315
Lassen	100	97%	2	2%	1	1%	103
Los Angeles	38,785	92%	273	1%	2,991	7%	42,049
Madera	796	95%	15	2%	25	3%	836
Marin	295	97%	2	1%	7	2%	304
Mendocino	823	98%	0	0%	13	2%	836
Merced	349	98%	3	1%	5	1%	357
Modoc	70	99%	0	0%	1	1%	71
Mono	24	96%	1	4%	0	0%	25
Monterey	637	93%	12	2%	33	5%	682
Napa	366	98%	1	<1%	6	2%	373
Nevada	167	98%	0	0%	4	2%	171
Orange	5,818	93%	42	1%	396	6%	6,256
Placer	591	99%	0	0%	8	1%	599

Table 12

## SDM® Risk Assessment Override Rate

County	No Override		Policy Override		Discretionary Override		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Plumas	36	95%	0	0%	2	5%	38
Riverside	7,045	97%	41	1%	169	2%	7,255
Sacramento	4,812	96%	26	1%	174	3%	5,012
San Benito	111	93%	1	1%	7	6%	119
San Bernardino	4,895	93%	109	2%	234	4%	5,238
San Diego	10,155	98%	58	1%	166	2%	10,379
San Francisco	607	93%	5	1%	42	6%	654
San Joaquin	2,538	98%	11	<1%	43	2%	2,592
San Luis Obispo	593	97%	6	1%	15	2%	614
San Mateo	639	97%	6	1%	12	2%	657
Santa Barbara	1,469	97%	6	<1%	46	3%	1,521
Santa Clara	4,444	95%	31	1%	209	4%	4,684
Santa Cruz	710	99%	1	<1%	5	1%	716
Shasta	923	99%	4	<1%	2	<1%	929
Siskiyou	398	99%	1	<1%	4	1%	403
Solano	637	96%	6	1%	21	3%	664
Sonoma	817	96%	11	1%	23	3%	851
Stanislaus	2,142	96%	10	<1%	72	3%	2,224
Sutter	126	98%	2	2%	1	1%	129
Tehama	150	96%	1	1%	5	3%	156
Trinity	70	97%	1	1%	1	1%	72
Tulare	1,293	98%	3	<1%	26	2%	1,322
Tuolumne	194	98%	2	1%	2	1%	198
Ventura	1,684	97%	6	<1%	49	3%	1,739
Yolo	567	95%	7	1%	20	3%	594
Yuba	189	99%	0	0%	1	1%	190
<b>Total</b>	<b>107,738</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>804</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>5,147</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>113,689</b>

Note: Results for counties with fewer than 25 investigations available for analysis are included in the total but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.

Table 13

## SDM® Family Strengths and Needs Assessment Completion Rate

County	Completed Within 60 Days and Prior to Case Plan		Completed Within 60 Days		Not Completed Within 60 Days		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	278	53%	27	5%	219	42%	524
Amador	7	23%	2	7%	21	70%	30
Butte	5	2%	0	0%	200	98%	205
Calaveras	39	55%	7	10%	25	35%	71
Colusa	0	0%	0	0%	34	100%	34
Contra Costa	43	9%	18	4%	428	88%	489
Del Norte	12	21%	0	0%	46	79%	58
El Dorado	0	0%	3	2%	148	98%	151
Fresno	577	41%	326	23%	518	36%	1,421
Glenn	0	0%	0	0%	42	100%	42
Humboldt	0	0%	1	<1%	263	>99%	264
Imperial	156	69%	39	17%	31	14%	226
Kern	665	73%	70	8%	178	19%	913
Kings	62	23%	9	3%	198	74%	269
Lake	4	13%	0	0%	27	87%	31
Lassen	5	12%	4	10%	32	78%	41
Los Angeles	12,976	70%	925	5%	4,511	25%	18,412
Madera	20	8%	0	0%	244	92%	264
Marin	25	36%	11	16%	33	48%	69
Mariposa	0	0%	0	0%	29	100%	29
Mendocino	0	0%	5	3%	184	97%	189
Merced	252	69%	27	7%	85	23%	364
Monterey	135	41%	25	8%	166	51%	326
Napa	23	18%	2	2%	105	81%	130
Nevada	12	30%	3	8%	25	63%	40
Orange	1,070	56%	127	7%	708	37%	1,905
Placer	21	11%	11	6%	157	83%	189
Plumas	3	7%	0	0%	38	93%	41
Riverside	490	21%	119	5%	1,735	74%	2,344
Sacramento	822	61%	86	6%	447	33%	1,355
San Benito	12	40%	1	3%	17	57%	30
San Bernardino	1,980	69%	219	8%	661	23%	2,860
San Diego	99	6%	7	0%	1,486	93%	1,592
San Francisco	256	54%	32	7%	185	39%	473
San Joaquin	208	39%	57	11%	275	51%	540

Table 13

## SDM® Family Strengths and Needs Assessment Completion Rate

County	Completed Within 60 Days and Prior to Case Plan		Completed Within 60 Days		Not Completed Within 60 Days		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
San Luis Obispo	14	8%	8	5%	148	87%	170
San Mateo	29	26%	23	21%	60	54%	112
Santa Barbara	3	1%	0	0%	288	99%	291
Santa Clara	496	33%	371	25%	641	43%	1,508
Santa Cruz	49	47%	0	0%	55	53%	104
Shasta	10	4%	0	0%	246	96%	256
Siskiyou	4	5%	0	0%	83	95%	87
Solano	70	26%	5	2%	191	72%	266
Sonoma	7	2%	2	<1%	418	98%	427
Stanislaus	441	67%	57	9%	165	25%	663
Sutter	7	8%	3	4%	75	88%	85
Tehama	7	10%	1	1%	60	88%	68
Trinity	4	16%	0	0%	21	84%	25
Tulare	153	23%	66	10%	437	67%	656
Tuolumne	1	1%	0	0%	76	99%	77
Ventura	254	45%	60	11%	245	44%	559
Yolo	74	23%	56	18%	187	59%	317
Yuba	0	0%	0	0%	102	100%	102
<b>Total</b>	<b>21,880</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>2,814</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>17,020</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>41,714</b>

Note: Results for counties with fewer than 25 investigations available for analysis are included in the total but not listed by county. In addition, for each county, the first case for each child within the county is presented. For the total, the first case for each child, across counties, is presented. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.

Table 14

## SDM® Risk Reassessment Completion Rate

County	Completed Within Six Months		Completed Between Six and Nine Months		Not Completed or Completed After Nine Months		N
	N	%	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	186	62%	36	12%	76	26%	298
Contra Costa	68	48%	17	12%	56	40%	141
El Dorado	1	3%	1	3%	31	94%	33
Fresno	228	69%	18	5%	85	26%	331
Glenn	0	0%	15	43%	20	57%	35
Humboldt	22	20%	20	18%	67	61%	109
Imperial	27	50%	11	20%	16	30%	54
Kern	144	67%	34	16%	36	17%	214
Kings	10	18%	18	33%	27	49%	55
Los Angeles	2,434	25%	4,468	45%	2,996	30%	9,898
Madera	9	16%	18	31%	31	53%	58
Mendocino	22	56%	1	3%	16	41%	39
Merced	65	74%	3	3%	20	23%	88
Monterey	140	80%	16	9%	20	11%	176
Napa	7	26%	12	44%	8	30%	27
Nevada	0	0%	0	0%	28	100%	28
Orange	140	22%	292	46%	196	31%	628
Placer	24	42%	6	11%	27	47%	57
Plumas	5	12%	3	7%	33	80%	41
Riverside	239	49%	67	14%	186	38%	492
Sacramento	464	67%	130	19%	100	14%	694
San Bernardino	206	31%	145	22%	309	47%	660
San Diego	166	30%	237	43%	154	28%	557
San Francisco	74	39%	79	41%	38	20%	191
San Joaquin	19	22%	33	39%	33	39%	85
San Mateo	16	30%	8	15%	30	56%	54
Santa Barbara	77	73%	7	7%	22	21%	106
Santa Clara	850	73%	155	13%	155	13%	1,160
Santa Cruz	34	76%	4	9%	7	16%	45
Solano	23	49%	13	28%	11	23%	47
Sonoma	100	39%	50	20%	104	41%	254
Stanislaus	105	45%	111	48%	16	7%	232
Tulare	44	29%	44	29%	64	42%	152
Ventura	142	86%	9	5%	15	9%	166

Table 14

SDM® Risk Reassessment Completion Rate

County	Completed Within Six Months		Completed Between Six and Nine Months		Not Completed or Completed After Nine Months		N
	N	%	n	%	n	%	
Yolo	5	7%	7	10%	60	83%	72
Yuba	12	26%	8	17%	27	57%	47
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,175</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>6,125</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>5,268</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>17,568</b>

Note: Results for counties with fewer than 25 investigations available for analysis are included in the total but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.



Table 15

## SDM® Risk Reassessment Level After Overrides

County	Low		Moderate		High		Very High		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	111	50%	86	39%	25	11%	0	0%	222
Contra Costa	41	48%	36	42%	8	9%	0	0%	85
Fresno	60	24%	168	68%	16	7%	2	1%	246
Humboldt	6	14%	31	74%	5	12%	0	0%	42
Imperial	0	0%	24	63%	14	37%	0	0%	38
Kern	11	6%	125	70%	41	23%	1	1%	178
Kings	4	14%	23	82%	1	4%	0	0%	28
Los Angeles	2,091	30%	3,432	50%	1,273	18%	106	2%	6,902
Madera	10	37%	17	63%	0	0%	0	0%	27
Merced	13	19%	27	40%	18	26%	10	15%	68
Monterey	42	27%	80	51%	27	17%	7	4%	156
Orange	145	34%	224	52%	63	15%	0	0%	432
Placer	5	17%	23	77%	2	7%	0	0%	30
Riverside	61	20%	186	61%	56	18%	3	1%	306
Sacramento	120	20%	382	64%	81	14%	11	2%	594
San Bernardino	147	42%	185	53%	18	5%	1	<1%	351
San Diego	90	22%	200	50%	106	26%	7	2%	403
San Francisco	25	16%	81	53%	41	27%	6	4%	153
San Joaquin	9	17%	33	63%	10	19%	0	0%	52
Santa Barbara	4	5%	71	85%	9	11%	0	0%	84
Santa Clara	290	29%	568	57%	129	13%	18	2%	1,005
Santa Cruz	4	11%	20	53%	14	37%	0	0%	38
Solano	6	17%	17	47%	13	36%	0	0%	36
Sonoma	24	16%	66	44%	60	40%	0	0%	150
Stanislaus	29	13%	121	56%	64	30%	2	1%	216
Tulare	33	38%	42	48%	13	15%	0	0%	88
Ventura	18	12%	92	61%	39	26%	2	1%	151
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,448</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>6,484</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>2,189</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>12,300</b>

Note: Results for counties with fewer than 25 investigations available for analysis are included in the total but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.

Table 16

## SDM® Reunification Reassessment Completion Rate

County	Completed Within Six Months		Completed Between Six and Nine Months		Not Completed or Completed After Nine Months		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	199	85%	12	5%	24	10%	235
Butte	17	8%	11	5%	196	88%	224
Calaveras	13	35%	7	19%	17	46%	37
Colusa	1	2%	6	14%	36	84%	43
Contra Costa	19	6%	52	17%	244	77%	315
Del Norte	18	50%	1	3%	17	47%	36
El Dorado	2	2%	2	2%	114	97%	118
Fresno	39	4%	67	8%	782	88%	888
Glenn	10	28%	14	39%	12	33%	36
Humboldt	18	7%	33	14%	191	79%	242
Imperial	11	4%	60	22%	199	74%	270
Kern	123	18%	208	31%	348	51%	679
Kings	51	30%	30	18%	90	53%	171
Lake	25	68%	2	5%	10	27%	37
Lassen	0	0%	1	3%	32	97%	33
Los Angeles	1,480	18%	3,198	39%	3,496	43%	8,174
Madera	7	4%	90	49%	87	47%	184
Marin	0	0%	9	24%	28	76%	37
Mendocino	4	4%	18	19%	75	77%	97
Merced	80	28%	45	16%	157	56%	282
Monterey	23	16%	12	9%	106	75%	141
Napa	21	26%	33	40%	28	34%	82
Nevada	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%	26
Orange	73	7%	442	40%	594	54%	1,109
Placer	9	12%	9	12%	57	76%	75
Plumas	4	14%	4	14%	20	71%	28
Riverside	206	20%	282	27%	546	53%	1,034
Sacramento	48	7%	162	22%	521	71%	731
San Bernardino	332	13%	989	38%	1,310	50%	2,631
San Diego	100	11%	316	35%	491	54%	907
San Francisco	37	16%	105	44%	94	40%	236
San Joaquin	36	8%	120	26%	311	67%	467
San Luis Obispo	21	13%	17	11%	121	76%	159
San Mateo	14	23%	3	5%	44	72%	61

Table 16

## SDM® Reunification Reassessment Completion Rate

County	Completed Within Six Months		Completed Between Six and Nine Months		Not Completed or Completed After Nine Months		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Santa Barbara	37	24%	13	8%	104	68%	154
Santa Clara	152	32%	77	16%	246	52%	475
Santa Cruz	28	51%	6	11%	21	38%	55
Shasta	11	6%	9	5%	153	88%	173
Siskiyou	13	31%	17	40%	12	29%	42
Solano	142	87%	9	6%	12	7%	163
Sonoma	79	39%	4	2%	118	59%	201
Stanislaus	10	3%	119	37%	189	59%	318
Sutter	8	10%	4	5%	65	84%	77
Tehama	25	37%	1	1%	41	61%	67
Tulare	35	7%	183	38%	263	55%	481
Tuolumne	16	62%	6	23%	4	15%	26
Ventura	87	32%	32	12%	155	57%	274
Yolo	23	8%	19	7%	233	85%	275
Yuba	13	23%	0	0%	44	77%	57
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,737</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>6,872</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>12,136</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>22,745</b>

Note: Fifteen removals had no permanency recommendation recorded in the reunification reassessment and were excluded from this analysis. Results for counties with fewer than 25 investigations available for analysis are included in the total but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.

Table 17

## SDM® Reunification Reassessment Permanency Plan Recommendation

County	Continue Services		Termination		Return Home		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Alameda	117	55%	74	35%	20	9%	211
Butte	16	57%	3	11%	9	32%	28
Contra Costa	38	54%	14	20%	19	27%	71
Fresno	59	56%	25	24%	22	21%	106
Humboldt	29	57%	8	16%	14	27%	51
Imperial	41	58%	16	23%	14	20%	71
Kern	183	55%	96	29%	52	16%	331
Kings	38	47%	16	20%	27	33%	81
Lake	9	33%	10	37%	8	30%	27
Los Angeles	3,169	68%	846	18%	663	14%	4,678
Madera	56	58%	12	12%	29	30%	97
Merced	66	53%	31	25%	28	22%	125
Monterey	23	66%	12	34%	0	0%	35
Napa	22	41%	13	24%	19	35%	54
Orange	361	70%	95	18%	59	11%	515
Riverside	314	64%	119	24%	55	11%	488
Sacramento	121	58%	48	23%	41	20%	210
San Bernardino	797	60%	220	17%	304	23%	1,321
San Diego	248	60%	128	31%	40	10%	416
San Francisco	82	58%	46	32%	14	10%	142
San Joaquin	98	63%	43	28%	15	10%	156
San Luis Obispo	30	79%	7	18%	1	3%	38
Santa Barbara	40	80%	6	12%	4	8%	50
Santa Clara	151	66%	33	14%	45	20%	229
Santa Cruz	18	53%	10	29%	6	18%	34
Siskiyou	15	50%	4	13%	11	37%	30
Solano	122	81%	22	15%	7	5%	151
Sonoma	41	49%	26	31%	16	19%	83
Stanislaus	89	69%	34	26%	6	5%	129
Tehama	16	62%	5	19%	5	19%	26
Tulare	122	56%	42	19%	54	25%	218

Table 17

SDM® Reunification Reassessment Permanency Plan Recommendation

County	Continue Services		Termination		Return Home		N
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Ventura	81	68%	28	24%	10	8%	119
Yolo	34	81%	5	12%	3	7%	42
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,763</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>2,166</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>1,680</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>10,609</b>

Note: Fifteen removals had no permanency recommendation recorded in the reunification reassessment and were excluded from this analysis. Results for counties with fewer than 25 investigations available for analysis are included in the total but not listed by county. Therefore, the sums of the columns do not equal the numbers in the "Total" row.